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Proceedings - Yaakov Akerman
CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Good evening, everyone. The first case on the calendar tonight has been assigned Case Number 25 of 2023. It's the application of Yaakov Akerman for the parcel known as 353 Roselle Avenue, designated as Section 39/Block 342/Lot 90 through 92. The applicant proposes a two-story addition with the attached garage. The applicant seeks a variance from Cedarhurst Code 265-41, side yards.

This Board has determined that the application is a Type II Action pursuant to Section 617.3 of the New York State Department of Environmental Control Regulations and does not require an Environmental Impact Statement. The village attorney will now read a statement into the record.

MR. GOLDFEDER: Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, for the record, we have been provided with proof of the mailing and publication in the local newspaper of record, of all notices of this hearing as required by law. Accordingly, jurisdiction has been obtained over all necessary parties, and this
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Board has jurisdiction to hear this appeal.
Pursuant to New York State General
Municipal Law Section 809, on October 22, 2023, a non-collusion affidavit has been duly executed by the applicant, Yaakov J. Akerman, wherein he stated that there are no other persons or entities involved in this application that are employed by or connected to the Village of Cedarhurst, its officers, or employees, which would in any way constitute a conflict under the law.

Pursuant to an agreement between the
Village of Cedarhurst and Nassau County Planning Commission, the Nassau County Planning Commission has been given notice of this application and has waived consideration thereof. Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: With that, will its representative please step forward.

MR. O'CONNELL: Good evening, chairman and members of the record. For the record, my name is Todd O'Connell, architect, doing business at 1200 Veterans Highway, Hauppauge, New York, architect for the Akermans who are
here with me this evening, and what we have before you this night is the Akermans are looking to eliminate their detached garage that's on the back of the house. They are looking to build an attached garage that they get access from inside their home. They do like to use their garage, and being a detached garage with all the elements and snow and ice, it's just, you know, difficult to use.

Now, the detached garage that they are actually getting rid of is nonconforming in terms of setbacks. It only yields -- 20 by 20 massive structure only yields 1 foot 9 inches on the northerly property line and only 5 inches actually on the westerly property line. So that's all coming out. So we are actually bringing the property into a bit more compliance, and you know, basically creating more space around the yard. And the neighbors are not part of it, but unfortunately because of where the house currently sits, if we want to get an attached garage and be able to use it, we have to come before this Board and ask for a variance to be able to fit that garage
and you can see that's the section of the house that's right over here is where we are building that garage space.

The -- essentially this strip right here which has a mudroom for all the children, a way to get into the garage, and then a small study on the back of the garage but that garage maintains a 6 -foot side yard setback which is the minimum required. We are not exceeding what the minimum setback is, but nonetheless there is an aggregate side yard setback which only yields about 11 and a half. The southerly property line, we are not touching that. You know, that's preexisting. It yields -- actually at the front of the house it yields 5.4, but it goes to 5.9 at the rear of the house and that's preexisting. We are not changing anything there. That's the preexisting house, but you know, the garage is only 11 and a half wide. It's a minimum garage. If you ever try to go into a garage smaller than that and try to open your doors, we feel that, you know, having a garage that is functional, for the Akermans they could
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actually get in and out of their cars. As well as from a construction standpoint.

You know, the new hurricane codes to keep it all practical to build, they do require what they call a sheer wall on the corner wall which requires a space of 18 inches, so all that helps the construction aspect as well on the garage to keep the structure safe. So we felt, you know, with the removal of this massive garage in the back that, you know, is in substantial noncompliance to its position to property lines, and we are requesting a minor aggregate variance, not a minimum variance but an aggregate variance was the best application to put before this Board which would answer the Akermans' goals and what they are looking for. They have -- before they started this project, they did approach the neighbor on the northerly side, telling them that they were looking to put a garage on that part of the house, and they had no objection from him. The other neighbor on the southerly side, we spoke with them. They are not being affected
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at all on that side but they just want -- were interested and they have no objection to what we are proposing. So we didn't feel it was going to have a negative impact on the community because we are actually giving something back that was substantially more in noncompliance and felt that, you know, it won't have a negative environmental impact.

If you saw the way the house looks from the front, you know, we are matching the character of the home. It fits in, you know, its character matches, we are not diminishing the character of the neighborhood. We are keeping the Tudor style of the home that's been there since the 1930 s and felt this would really, you know, enhance the character of the neighborhood itself, and with that, I would love to answer any questions this Board may have.

CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Questions? Any
questions? Any comments? Is that your case, sir?

MR. O'CONNELL: Yes, sir.
CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Any comments from
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anybody in the audience for or against? Just you did acknowledge that the garage is 11 and a half feet wide, I think, correct?

MR. O'CONNELL: Correct.
CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Can you bring it down to 10 feet wide, which is the minimum garage size for the garage?

MR. O'CONNELL: Have you ever pulled a car into a 10-foot garage and tried to get out of it?

MR. GOLDFEDER: Except for all the applicants who ask for a narrower garage because they don't want to take the space for a garage and ask for less than 10 feet.

MR. O'CONNELL: A garage is required so they are giving you the minimum that a code allows, but it's just not practical.

MR. GOLDFEDER: It's more difficult. Everything is practical if you could make it work. The bottom line is the Board has taken a position where we require a 10 by 20 minimum standard garage. By bringing it down to the 10 feet, you are reducing the side yard impact by a foot and a half. That's why it's being
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asked.
CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Can you bring the house to 7 feet? Could you shift just in the front -- there is a new living room, right? The living room doesn't exist today?

MR. O'CONNELL: Look, is it possible to do? You know, sure. Anything is possible. You know, it's obviously going to make the garage much more difficult for them to utilize.

CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: No, I am saying if you go into the next page. It says new living room. New living room. Does that currently exist at all?

MRS. AKERMAN: Shana Akerman. It does exist. It's just not a living room.

MR. O'CONNELL: Just to speak to that a little bit. Where that wall is the dividing wall between the garage and the living room is actually a structural wall. There is foundation at that point. So that's where we are going from that point out as opposed to cutting into an existing foundation wall.

CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Perfect. Thank you.

Proceedings - Yaakov Akerman
Thank you.
MR. SHTEIERMAN: I don't have any
questions.
CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: We will take a
five-minute break and come back with a decision.
(Recess taken.)
CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: We are going to make a motion to increase the proposed side yard variance on the north side to a minimum of 7 and a half feet.

MR. O'CONNELL: Seven and a half?
CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: To the minimum of 7 and a half feet, a foot and a half over 11 and a half to 10.

MR. O'CONNELL: That's making the garage almost impossible to utilize. It's a 10-foot garage.

CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Mr. Shteierman, you want to address that?

MR. SHTEIRMAN: So the Board feels that a 10-foot garage is indeed useable, and $B$, if you really wanted the garage wider, take it off the living room. A 3-foot variance on the
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aggregate width is substantial, and we have tried to shy away from those. I don't know if we have given them at all, but regardless we try to shy away from that and it's still a substantial variance. Especially considering that the garage here is 25 percent of your total extension approximately. So based on the garage and the width of the garage, you are asking for an overall extension of the second floor, 20 feet behind the garage, two stories high. So if you took the square footage of this garage, it actually comprises 25 percent of this extension, and based on that, you are asking for quite a substantial variance.

MR. O'CONNELL: If $I$ can just make a suggestion, $I$ know the Board spoke -- like I said, they like to use their garage. The garage is very important to them. Would it be possible to keep the first floor but reduce the second floor 18 inches where, you know, we could work with that? You know, so it's only a one-story mass, you know, that would encroach as opposed to a full two-story mass
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and that obviously, you know, helps the density quite a bit.

MR. GOLDFEDER: The whole justification for the second story is based on the garage. Let's call a spade a spade. The reason you are asking for the variance is because the garage requirement. So now you are saying that you are not going to extend to the full extent on the second floor. Then take back the entire width of the garage for the second floor as well because there is really no justification for it. The only reason the second floor is being considered and granted is because of the necessity of the garage. MR. O'CONNELL: Yes. MR. GOLDFEDER: So if you are saying you are going to push back the second floor, then let's push it back the full 11 and a half feet.

CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: We are allowing you to keep the line because of the garage. You are gaining the second floor because of the first floor.

MR. O'CONNELL: Okay. Understood.

Proceedings - Yaakov Akerman CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: So make a motion to approve as modified. MR. SHTEIERMAN: Approved as modified. MR. CLARK: Approved as modified. MR. BLEIBERG: Approved as modified. MS. EDELMAN: Approved as modified. CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Thank you. Have a good night, everyone. Go straight to the next case.
(Time noted: 7:29 p.m.)
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Proceedings - Hachaim Veshalom APPLICATION FOR ZONING VARIANCE

IN THE MATTER OF

HACHAIM VESHALOM
125 Cedarhurst Avenue
Applicant.
-------------------------------------------

200 Cedarhurst Avenue Cedarhurst, New York

January 18, 2024 7:30 p.m.

B E F O R E
MEIR KRENGEL, Chairman
MICHAEL BLEIBERG, Board Member JARED CLARK, Board Member SHIFRA EDELMAN, Board Member DAVID SHTEIERMAN, Board Member YOEL GOLDFEDER, Village Attorney WAYNE YARNELL, Supt. Bldg. Dept.

Proceedings - Hachaim Veshalom CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: The second case on the calendar tonight has been assigned Case Number 23 of 2023. It's the application Hachaim Veshalom, the applicant of a parcel known as 125 Cedarhurst Avenue, Section 39/Block 263/Lot 120. The applicant proposed structural changes on the use of the property from business to religious. The applicants seeks variances from Cedarhurst Code 265-94A, onsite parking.

This Board has determined that the application is a Type II Action pursuant to Section 617.3 of the New York State Department of Environmental Control Regulations and does not require an Environmental Impact Statement. The village attorney will now read a statement into the record.

MR. GOLDFEDER: Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, for the record, we have been provided with proof of the mailing and publication in the local newspaper of record, of all notices of this hearing as required by law. Accordingly, jurisdiction has been obtained over all necessary parties, and this

Proceedings - Hachaim Veshalom
Board has jurisdiction to hear this appeal. Pursuant to New York State General Municipal Law Section 809, on November 15, 2023, a non-collusion affidavit has been duly executed by the applicant, Rabbi Doniel Obadia, wherein he stated that there are no other persons or entities involved in this application that are employed by or connected to the Village of Cedarhurst, its officers, or employees, which would in any way constitute a conflict under the law.

Pursuant to an agreement between the
Village of Cedarhurst and Nassau County Planning Commission, the Nassau County Planning Commission has been given notice of this application and recommended that the village of Cedarhurst take action as it deems appropriate.

Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Applicant or their representative. MR. D'AGOSTINO: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. My name is Albert D'Agostino. I am a member of the firm
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of Minerva \& D'Agostino PC, 107 South Central Avenue, Valley Stream, New York, and we are the attorneys for the applicant.

As was indicated with respect to the reading of the notice and the variance which is before you, this variance has been occasioned by a change of use of the subject property. The subject property previously consisted of a portion which was used as a bank and two retail uses, two retail stores to a place of public assembly, to a house of worship. The space requirements as I understand it for the bank and retail are parking is one space per 200 square feet. Which is almost identical to the requirement for the public assembly with the exception that there is also one space per four seats. The subject property is located a couple of doors away from an existing house of worship, which is also part of the applicant's property and that has been in use as $I$ understand it for the last three or four years and that's at 127 -- 137. I make that mistake. I'm sorry. 137 and $I$ think that's a
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clear indicator of the type of use and the parking needs of that type of use. I have -and right now if everything were calculated out, there is a deficiency of what $I$ understand to be 19 spaces. I have a parking expert here --

CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Sir, just for the record, how many spots is the requirement according to your calculations?

MR. D'AGOSTINO: The requirement -MR. YARNELL: Is mul --

MR. D'AGOSTINO: The print is rather small.

MR. SHTEIRMAN: Fifty-seven required total. It says 57 on the plan; is that correct?

MR. MULLER: Yes, that's correct. Fifty-seven.

CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Requirement is 57.
MR. D'AGOSTINO: Fifty-seven and we have a deficiency of 21.

MR. MULLER: Yes.
MR. D'AGOSTINO: We had different figures on two different plans.
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CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: The deficiency is due to a previous credit of 36 spaces. You grandfathered 36 and now you don't have either. There is zero. It requires 57, there is zero parking. There is 36 previously that's grandfathered in and that's 21. You are seeking a variance of 21 spots.

MR. D'AGOSTINO: Yes.
CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Perfect. Thank you.
MR. D'AGOSTINO: Before $I$ introduce Mr. Muller, $I$ indicate to you that $I$ personally went to the premises this afternoon and at least -- and I am not the parking expert, he is, but just as far as my observation, my observation, $I$ had no difficulty parking and there were vehicles pulling in, pulling out there that there seem to be a surplus of parking, but at this point $I$ will introduce Wayne Muller.

CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Before we get to the parking, just sum up for the record, just state what the operation of the Shul is.

MR. D'AGOSTINO: You are talking about how it's operated?
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CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Just --
MR. D'AGOSTINO: In terms of timing, I have the rabbi here who can do that. Would you like --

CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Let's do that first before the parking. The rabbi can step forward.

MR. D'AGOSTINO: As far as of the
operation of the Shul, could you explain it to the chairman and the Board?

CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Just could the rabbi please say his name and address?

RABBI OBADIA: Doniel Obadia.
MR. D'AGOSTINO: Which address?
CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: The Shul address is fine.

RABBI OBADIA: 125 Cedarhurst Avenue. CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Okay. You are the rabbi of the Shul, correct?

RABBI OBADIA: Something like that.
MR. GOLDFEDER: So the last time that the Shul was in front of the Board, you explained the timing of the Minyanim and the schedule and things like that. So what is

Proceedings - Hachaim Veshalom intended for this property? How is it currently being used? How is it going to be used?

RABBI OBADIA: For the parking, so as you know, it's nonstop Minyanim, so Mincha and Maariv approximately 12 minutes or less depending on the Chazan. And so there is always space between now and when there is maybe 80 percent empty.

CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: The operations start from 6:00 in the morning?

RABBI OBADIA: It start in the main building.

CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Not the main building; this building.

RABBI OBADIA: It starts 9:00. CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: And ends approximately 12:30?

RABBI OBADIA: Eleven.

CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: So it's an all-day operation?

RABBI OBADIA: Yes, all-day operation. CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Thank you.

RABBI OBADIA: So and that's it.
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CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: And the service is
taking place in one room and the bank building is going to be used as --

RABBI OBADIA: With the bank building. CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: The bank building, the corner?

RABBI OBADIA: The corner. Which one?
CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Where the old HSBC building will be used for.

RABBI OBADIA: That's for the nonstop building and for the front we are doing a Minyan. They found our Zeman and the plaque, sunset, sunrise.

CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Thank you.
MR. D'AGOSTINO: So at this time $I$ would introduce Wayne Muller who is our parking expert. I believe Mr. Muller has testified before this Board previously, and I would ask that you accept his testimony as that of an expert. Thank you very much. Mr. Muller?

MR. MULLER: Good evening. For the record, Wayne Muller with the firm of Robinson \& Muller Engineering. Our offices are at 50 Elm Street, Huntington, New York. I would
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like to submit copies of a brief analysis we did dated January 18, 2024. I have copies to be handed up to the Board and would like to briefly discuss the results contained therein. As indicated by Mr. D'Agostino, as a proposed change, the permitted or the approved use of the building known as 125 Cedarhurst Avenue from the bank and retail facility to a religious institution as indicated on the plans prepared by John F. Capobianco dated October 20, 2023, and as discussed previously, a total of 57 parking spaces are required. Thirty-six of those are grandfathered, so there is an additional deficiency of 21 spaces. The site provides no onsite parking, and the activity at the facility solely relies on the municipal parking field which is located directly adjacent to the subject property. That municipal parking lot, commonly known as Cedarhurst Municipal Parking Field Number 9, contains metered stalls that have regulations from 12 -hour and 4 -hour depending on the row that you are parked in. To determine the level of activity in
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the parking field, we performed observations on Thursday, January 4 th, between the hours of 1:00 and 3:00 in the afternoon, 5:00 to 7:00 in the evening, and 9:00 to 11:00 at night, and then we returned again on Sunday, January 7th, and observed the activity in the lot from 1:00 to 5:00.

Our report contains an exhibit which we labelled Ex-1, and that just shows the parking field in relationship to the subject property and all the parking rows that we observed the vehicles that were parked in. Next, attached to the report are a series of six tables, 1 through 6. The first three tables summarize the data that was collected on Thursday, and the last three tables summarize the data that was collected on Sunday.

I would like to draw your attention, the Board's attention to Table 2. Table 2, excuse me. That contains a summary of the activity that was observed parked in Municipal Field Number 9 in the hours that $I$ discussed, and as you can see between 1:00 and 3:00, there were a total of it ranged from 46 vacant spaces to
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50. Between 5:00 and 7:00, the number of vacant spaces ranged between 51 -- 63, 73, went up to 81 and then in the evening at 9:00, there were 50 vacant spaces.

CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Where are you reading off of?

MR. MULLER: Table 2.
The last series of columns, that's the summary of the weekday observations. So at all the times that we observed, there were vacant spots in the lot.

MR. GOLDFEDER: This is -- just to clarify for the record, this is while the property is being utilized as an existing synagogue?

MR. MULLER: That's correct, yes. And then turning the Board's attention to the Sunday observations which are summarized here in Table 4, similarly to the Thursday, you can see at 1:00 there were 42 vacant spaces and then it went to 60 at $1: 30,48$ at $2: 30,2: 00$, then 45, 51, and 29 vacant spots, and then you can see as you approached sunset, there were 20 vacant spots, 23 at 4:30 and then at 5:00
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it started to increase again to 56 .
So during our observations of the existing activity within the parking field, there was always an abundance of available parking in that lot to support the activity that's currently being generated by the facility. So therefore, it is my professional opinion that by granting the relief that the applicant is seeking, in order to change what the use of the property what was previously approved to what he proposes, there would be no significant impact upon the parking conditions within the village in the areas that we observed.

CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Thank you.
MR. MULLER: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Anybody have any questions on the traffic study?

MR. SHTEIRMAN: No.
MR. MULLER: As Mr. D'Agostino
indicated, there was one correction I would like to make in the report, and that is in the bullet point number 1. We inadvertently had an older set of plans that showed the
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CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: It does not change your conclusions?

MR. MULLER: It does not change my conclusion. Thank you.

MR. D'AGOSTINO: And just for the record, just to supplement what Mr. Muller testified to, while I am not a traffic expert or parking expert, $I$ went to view the property today, and there was a significant number of vacant parking spaces. People were coming in, leaving and I didn't find any problem but I did not actually count the number of vacant spots.

I would respectfully suggest that while the uses have changed and that triggered the requirement for this variance application that the current use, religious use in terms of parking is not any more onerous than the previous retail and banking use and that we would respectfully request that the Board favorably consider the variance request that's before you.
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I believe we do have some congregants who are here who may speak in favor or indicate that they are in favor, but I leave that to you. In the event that there is anybody in opposition, $I$ reserve my right to respond to any points that are raised, and $I$ do believe that Wayne, the statutory criteria for the granting of this variance, that we would meet those criteria.

CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: What were the criteria and why?

MR. D'AgOSTINO: Well, that there is no -- there is no change. There is no significant adverse impact. What we are requesting is not significant but there is no -- there is no environmental impact which are different from what has always existed and there is no significant change, adverse change in the nature of the conditions in the neighborhood.

CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Thank you.
MR. D'AGOSTINO: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Just take a poll.
MR. SHTEIRMAN: Mr. D'Agostino, sorry.
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I do have a question on the program. Not sure if you can answer this or the rabbi. This room is proposed for praying services?

MR. D'AGOSTINO: Yes. Rabbi, yes?
Correct.
MR. SHTEIRMAN: Is there anything else proposed in this room other than --

RABBI OBADIA: The social room on Shabbos if there is not any service there so --

MR. SHTEIRMAN: Respectfully, do you mind speaking?

RABBI OBADIA: Again, for Kiddush on Shabbos or when you have the occasion when it's not -- when it's not service, the shul on 137, going there going to 137.

MR. SHTEIRMAN: On weekends, it's a Kiddush room on weekends only?

RABBI OBADIA: We don't do Kiddush during the week, but we -- maybe if there is a Simcha in the Minyan. It's very difficult to do a Kiddush.

MR. SHTEIRMAN: So it's going to be used as a Simcha room for during the week?
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RABBI OBADIA: We cannot use for Simcha room right now because we have Minyanim, but in the case the Shul has something we would like to use for Kiddush or for Hillula for Baba Sali or something.

MR. SHTEIRMAN: So it would be used as a party room?

RABBI OBADIA: Occasionally because there are Minyanim all the time, so we cannot have any -- in case we have a moment in the night if we can figure out, we will do Kiddush or Hillula or something like that.

CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Is there anybody here who is in opposition to this application? Okay. So anybody would like to speak in favor of this application? Just a couple of people. We don't need everybody to speak.

Raise of hands, who here is in favor of the application? Let the record show that all the -- anybody here in the audience is in favor of the application, and there isn't anybody in opposition of the application. There is nobody here in opposition. So -MR. GROSSMAN: Eli Grossman. 216 Smith
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Street, Woodmere. I attend Baruch Hashem every day very early in the morning like 6:00, 6:30, but also during the -- my -- sometimes I come for prayers. I always have parking. There is no problem for parking. Even when we go to HSBC, same thing. Always have parking. Many of the people who come to pray are local people that they walk towards the Shul so that's why that's one of the things in the day during Mincha, et cetera, many people don't have to drive. So it doesn't have an impact on parking. That's it.

CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Anyone else would like to say something? MR. SAFANIEV: Good evening, everyone. Boris Safaniev. I own a few restaurants here, Havtali Group. One thing I can say the community is growing in the last nine years since $I$ stood before you guys, and my variance building out the community has grown. It's growing. There is restaurants, supermarkets, liquor stores. There is always, you know, a need for people moving in COVID brought a lot of people from Queens, Brooklyn, et cetera.
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Our community is growing. The Shul has provided an abundance of different Minyanim to accommodate everyone for the schedules like there is a business owner directly behind. I can attest there is another restauranteur behind us that the parking has never been an issue for my customers or anybody else, so again we do 2, 300 covers a night. One thing I can tell you there has never been a complaint for us, and $I$ think that the community needs this, more than ever, right? We need to be accommodated on the level the rabbi is providing for us. Thank you.

RABBI KALISH: Joshua Kalish. My address is 122 Harborview South. Three years I have had the privilege of teaching and praying in these grounds. And $I$ can say it's a tremendous service. Cedarhurst is now on the map in the United States.
(Applause.)
RABBI KALISH: Thanks to Rabbi Obadia providing the right way right near the parking lot, nobody is affected. I am there every day. Never have a problem finding a spot.
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And the amount of people you meet, everyone is friends and neighbors. It's created a tremendous unity and feeling of community in this community, the idea that we can get together and pray in this place. I just want to put my -- on the record to say how much I support and appreciate, appreciate you for allowing this and Rabbi Obadia for building this. Thank you.

MR. BESS: My name is Chaim Bess, otherwise known as Mark Bess. I just want to say I am the landlord -- I own the building across the street. So I also never hear any parking issues even though we have no parking in our building. We only use the city parking. This is a community center. It's a center -- people have been attracted to our buildings more because of this center since Rabbi Obadia came and he -- more than davening, he provides food for everybody too. So you know, in the back he helps everyone out everybody that wants to come. It's a real community center. It's a real community for Cedarhurst, and $I$ think it's a big benefit.

Proceedings - Hachaim Veshalom CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Thank you. MR. HASAN: Good evening. My name is Avraham Hasan. I am an active member of the Shul. I also help out in the accounting department so that the synagogue is in compliance for the bank loan. I am at the synagogue almost daily, and $I$ walk from my home. I hardly ever bring my car. As well as my neighbors. Just wanted to let you know that.

MR. DONCHI: Good evening, everybody. I do not live locally. But $I$ work on Central Avenue. Yoel Donchi, 1035 Bay 32 nd in Bayswater or Far Rockaway. I work at 315 Central Avenue and $I$ work in Hashgacha and sometimes it's not -- because with the ongoing Minyanim at Hachaim Veshalom, it makes because I cannot necessarily always catch davening or praying at the times that $I$ want in my community and $I$ work locally sometimes, so I -- most of the time $I$ have to end up praying here and $I$ find parking as soon as I get there and once $I$ am done, $I$ am out, and look, $I$ never had any difficulty finding parking, and
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CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Anybody else?
MR. D'AGOSTINO: Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, I really have nothing to add to what the congregants and neighbors have said to you. And $I$ would respectfully request that you favorably consider this application.

CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: We will take a
five-minute break and come back.
(Recess taken.)
CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Back on the record.
There is just one concern that was brought up about garbage. Because there is food service happening at certain times, there is garbage cannot be stored outside. All garbage must be kept inside the building.

RABBI OBADIA: Inside the Shul?
CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Inside the building.
There is a storage room, a proposed storage room in the back. You should use that for
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RABBI OBADIA: I have a little bit. I have on the side garbage cans.

CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: But you can't keep garbage -- garbage needs to be kept inside until pickup.

MR. YARNELL: Especially if there is any food if whether a Kiddush or a function has to be stored inside.

CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Also this is not for here, but the exterior, you have to go before the Architectural Board for any exterior changes. And also that again, not the purview of this Board but $I$ would believe it's in the code for parking, village code provides that any parking, any variance on parking is a \$7,000 fee per spot.

RABBI OBADIA: It went up?
MR. D'AGOSTINO: That's before the board of trustees.

CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: It's a village code.
MR. GOLDFEDER: It's part of village

MR. D'AGOSTINO: Well, obviously this is -- and I know that you -- whatever the code says you are stuck with, but just for the record, this is a religious organization and $\$ 7,000$ a spot is obviously quite onerous for the synagogue but $I$ recognize this is not the place.

MR. GOLDFEDER: This is the fee that has been assessed every religious organization that's coming for a variance.

MR. D'AGOSTINO: I understand.
CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: I make a motion to approve the application.

MR. SHTEIERMAN: Approved.
MR. CLARK: Approved.
MR. BLEIBERG: Approved.
MS. EDELMAN: Approved.
CHAIRMAN KRENGEL: Thank you very much. We are adjourned.
(Time noted: 8:07 p.m.)
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